

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/552,524	07/20/2006	Dieter Reichel	CBZ-1352	2367	
22827 DORITY & M	7590 05/13/200 [ANNING, P.A.	EXAMINER			
POST OFFICE	E BOX 1449		MCCARRY JR, ROBERT J		
GREENVILLI	E, SC 29602-1449		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/13/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/552,524	REICHEL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ROBERT J. MCCARRY JR	3617	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

one in hopiy	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timing filled after SIX (6) MCNTHS from the making date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or estended period for reply will by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (36 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the making date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patter torm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1740(b).	
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	
4) Claim(s) 22-35 is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-35</u> is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/01/07.

4) 🔲	Interv	iew	s	un	nn	na	ry	(PTO-4	1

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because Figure 8 has a depiction of a part of the invention wit the label "Querschnittebene". It is unclear as to what this label means as it is not translated to English and parts of the figures should only contain reference numerals. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner. the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abevance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 3617

Claims 2-33 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 22 recites "a carrier and at least one functional part arranged on said carrier, said functional part comprising a stator carrier;" It is unclear to the Examiner as to how a carrier can be arranged on itself or if the carrier and the stator carrier are two different parts or one in the same.

Claim 26 recites "corresponding recesses and elevations define a chess board configuration." It is unclear to the Examiner as to exactly what is referred to as a "chess board configuration".

Claim 33 recites that the "stator packet is supported by but visibly drops relative to the adjacent said stator packets". It is unclear to the Examiner what the Applicant is reciting by stating that the stator packet is supported but visibly drops. The Applicant makes no mention as to what the stator is supported by or how or when the stator would visibly drop and what it drops in relation to.

Claim 35 recites "a carrier with an attached stator carrier". It is unclear if the carrier and stator carrier are two different parts or one in the same.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3617

Claims 22-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Raschbichler et al (US 5,370,059).

Raschbichler et al discloses a track for a magnetically levitated vehicle. The rack is comprised of a carrier assembly 1 having downwardly extending support arms 11. Stator assemblies are mounted on the support arms and the carriers by means of bolts threaded through the stator and anchored in the carrier. Each stator has a front and rear end that contours and mates with the ends of an adjacent stator assembly. Two different surfaces are shown on the stators to align them end to end. One stator has a flat rear end and a stepped front end to mate with an opposite stator as shown to the far left of figure 2. This allows for the cog teeth of the stators to continue to be properly spaced. Another stator assembly has a flat front end and a flat rear end as shown on the stator to the right of figure 2. This stator mates with other stator assemblies with like surfaces, again to endure the proper spacing of the cog teeth along the underside of the stator packs.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Raschbichler et al (US 5,850,794) and Heddrich et al (US 6,889,616) both disclose types of track structures for magnetically levitated vehicles.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROBERT J. MCCARRY JR whose telephone number is (571)272-6683. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:00am to 3:00pm.

Art Unit: 3617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Joseph Morano can be reached on (571) 272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/S. Joseph Morano/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3617 /R. J. McCarry Jr./ Examiner, Art Unit 3617

RJM May 9, 2008